

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARCUS D. JOHNSON,
Petitioner,
v.
SAN JOAQUIN SUPERIOR COURT,
Respondent.

No. 2:23-cv-0157 AC P

ORDER

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On January 30, 2023, petitioner was ordered to file an application to proceed in forma pauperis or, in the alternative, to pay the filing fee. ECF No. 3. Petitioner was given thirty days to do so.


More than thirty days have passed, and petitioner has neither filed the form, nor paid the filing fee. He has not responded to the court's order in any way.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. Within fourteen days of the date of this order, petitioner shall show cause why it should not be recommended that this matter be dismissed for failure to file an application to proceed in forma pauperis or, in the alternative, to pay the filing fee.

2. Petitioner's filing of the in forma pauperis application or his payment of the filing fee will eliminate his obligation to file the showing of cause.

DATED: March 8, 2023


ALLISON CLAIRE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE